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Nanostructured layers of Cs$_2$CO$_3$ are shown to function very effectively as cathodes in organic electronic devices because of their good electron-injection capabilities. Here, we report a comprehensive study of the origin of the low work function of nanostructured layers of Cs$_2$CO$_3$ prepared by solution deposition and thermal evaporation. The nanoscale Cs$_2$CO$_3$ layers are probed by various characterization methods including current–voltage ($I$–$V$) measurements, photovoltaic studies, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), UV photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS), and impedance spectroscopy. It is found that thermally evaporated Cs$_2$CO$_3$ decomposes into CsO$_2$ and cesium suboxides. The cesium suboxides dope CsO$_2$, yielding a heavily doped n-type semiconductor with an intrinsically low work function. As a result, devices fabricated using thermally evaporated Cs$_2$CO$_3$ are relatively insensitive to the choice of the cathode metal. The reaction of thermally evaporated Cs$_2$CO$_3$ with Al can further reduce the work function to 2.1 eV by forming an Al–O–Cs complex. Solution-processed Cs$_2$CO$_3$ also reduces the work function of Au substrates from 5.1 to 3.5 eV. However, devices prepared using solution-processed Cs$_2$CO$_3$ exhibit high efficiency only if a reactive metal such as Al or Ca is used as the cathode metal. A strong chemical reaction occurs between spin-coated Cs$_2$CO$_3$ and thermally evaporated Al. An Al–O–Cs complex is formed as a result of this chemical reaction at the interface, and this layer significantly reduces the work function of the cathode. Finally, impedance spectroscopy results prove that this layer is highly conductive.

1. Introduction

The nanometer-sized interfacial layer between the metal cathode and the organic semiconductor plays a critical role in controlling the performance of organic light-emitting devices (OLEDs).[1–14] Cs$_2$CO$_3$ has been shown to be a very efficient electron-injection material in OLEDs, including in OLEDs based on small molecules[9,11] and polymers (polymer light-emitting diodes (PLEDs)).[10,14] It has been shown that there is a three-fold increase in the efficiency of a white-light-emitting PLED upon the insertion of a thin Cs$_2$CO$_3$ layer between the light-emitting polymer (LEP) and the Al cathode.[10] It is also known that Cs$_2$CO$_3$ is more effective than LiF in terms of facilitating electron injection, because devices using a Cs$_2$CO$_3$ electron-injection layer have a lower driving voltage, and hence exhibit a higher power efficiency. In addition, more materials can be used as the cathode metal when Cs$_2$CO$_3$ is used as the electron-injection layer. Furthermore, the electron-injection ability of the interfacial layer can be precisely controlled by adjusting the thickness of Cs$_2$CO$_3$, and thus balanced electron and hole currents can be achieved to realize optimal device performance.[14] Owing to the above reasons, Cs$_2$CO$_3$ has been increasingly used in organic electronic devices. For example, it has been used as the connecting unit in tandem OLED cells,[12] as an electrode material in inverted photovoltaic devices,[13] and as a dopant for other electron-transport materials.[9,11] Notably, Cs$_2$CO$_3$ can be processed either by thermal evaporation[9,11,12,14] or spin-coating[10,13] and as a dopant for other electron-transport materials.[9,11] Cs$_2$CO$_3$ has a high solubility in polar solvents such as water and alcohol, and is almost completely insoluble in most other organic solvents such as toluene, $p$-xylene, and chlorobenzene. Thus, the deposition of Cs$_2$CO$_3$ layers is compatible with the solution processing of multilayer PLED structures. Furthermore, once all the device parameters have been optimized, there is no obvious difference in device efficiency using these two processes. This point is discussed in more detail below.

However, in contrast to well-known LiF, it is still not clear how Cs$_2$CO$_3$ works in improving electron injection. Here, we report a systematic study of the origin of the good electron-injection capabilities of Cs$_2$CO$_3$ layers fabricated by solution processing or thermal deposition. The electron-injection capabilities of Cs$_2$CO$_3$ layers have been studied using PLEDs with cathodes fabricated from several different metals. The devices have been first characterized by current–voltage ($I$–$V$) measurements to demonstrate increased electron injection by Cs$_2$CO$_3$. Secondly, the increased electron injection is explored...
by measuring the change of the cathode work function in real devices. This is achieved by studying the built-in potential of the devices by photovoltaic measurements. The interface electronic structure and variations in the work function have been further examined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and UV photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS). The analysis of high-performance devices fabricated using Cs/Al cathodes corroborates the results obtained by other techniques. Finally, impedance spectroscopy results show that ohmic contacts are formed at the Cs₂CO₃ interface.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. I–V Characteristics

In order to determine how the electron-injection layer forms and functions, PLEDs have been fabricated with various Cs₂CO₃ thicknesses by thermal evaporation. For comparison, PLEDs have also been fabricated using solution-processed Cs₂CO₃. All the devices have the structure indium tin oxide (ITO)/ poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT)/LEP/ Cs₂CO₃/Al (or Ag), where the LEP is a blend of polyfluorene (PF) and poly(2-methoxy-5-(2′-ethylhexoxy)-1,4-phenylenevinylene) (MEH-PPV). In order to verify if Al must be used as the metal cathode to achieve high performance, devices have also been fabricated using Ag as the cathode. Ag is chosen because it has a work function similar to that of Al, but is much less chemically reactive. The thickness of the thermally evaporated Cs₂CO₃ is varied from 0.3 to 30 Å. The thickness of the solution-processed Cs₂CO₃ is estimated by XPS absorption to be about 20 Å. Here, the notation Cs₂CO₃ (sol)/Al, Cs₂CO₃ (sol)/Ag, Cs₂CO₃ (evp)/Al, and Cs₂CO₃ (evp)/Ag denote devices with solution-processed Cs₂CO₃/Al, solution-processed Cs₂CO₃/Ag, thermally evaporated Cs₂CO₃/Al, and thermally evaporated Cs₂CO₃/Ag cathodes, respectively. The notations Cs₂CO₃ (evp) and Cs₂CO₃ (sol) are used to denote thermally evaporated and solution-processed Cs₂CO₃, respectively, because the thermally evaporated Cs₂CO₃ is indeed no longer Cs₂CO₃ on the substrate, as discussed in the subsequent sections.

2.1.1. Thermally Evaporated Cs₂CO₃

Figure 1 shows I–V plots for Cs₂CO₃ (evp)/Al and Cs₂CO₃ (evp)/Ag devices with the Cs₂CO₃ thickness varying from 0.3 to 30 Å. Figure 1 clearly shows that the current increases with increasing Cs₂CO₃ thickness for both the Al and Ag cathodes. For the thicknesses tested here, no decrease in current is observed for thick Cs₂CO₃ films. In contrast, the current observed for the Cs₂CO₃ (sol)/Ag device is as small as that for a device with only an Al cathode. This result suggests that solution-processed Cs₂CO₃ does not play a significant role in increasing the injection of chemical reactivity. The interfacial layer formed by the reaction of Al with thermally evaporated Cs₂CO₃ is able to further increase the electron injection, as also suggested by the XPS/UPS measurements discussed in subsequent sections. One problem with Cs₂CO₃ (evp)/Ag devices is that they are easily electrically shorted, likely due to the diffusion of Ag into the LEP layer. Unfortunately, the morphology of the deposited film is difficult to characterize by atomic force microscopy (AFM) under an ambient atmosphere because the surface tends to absorb moisture rapidly.

2.1.2. Solution-Processed Cs₂CO₃

Figure 1 also shows the I–V curves of Cs₂CO₃ (sol)/Al and Cs₂CO₃ (sol)/Ag devices. The current observed for the Cs₂CO₃ (sol)/Al device is comparable to that of the device with 24 Å of thermally evaporated Cs₂CO₃. This result is reasonable because the thickness of the solution-deposited Cs₂CO₃ is around 20 Å. In contrast, the current observed for the Cs₂CO₃ (sol)/Ag device is as small as that for a device with only an Al cathode. This result suggests that solution-processed Cs₂CO₃ does not play a significant role in increasing the injection of
electrons from Ag. Again the large observed difference is ascribed to the different chemical reactivities of the two metals. We conclude that the reaction of Al with spin-coated Cs$_2$CO$_3$ is important to obtain an interface optimal for electron injection. Another conclusion that can be drawn from this data is that the idea of doping of the LEP by Cs$_2$CO$_3$ can be rejected as the main reason for increased electron injection. Indeed, this is very different from the situation of Cs$_2$CO$_3$ doped into tris(8-hydroxyquinoline) aluminum (Alq$_3$).[12] If the polymer layer were to be doped by Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (sol), a thin n-type region would be formed at the LEP/cathode interface, and there would not be such a huge difference in current injection between Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (sol)/Ag and Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (sol)/Al devices.

2.2. Built-in Potential from Photovoltaic Measurements

In order to better understand the mechanism responsible for the increased electron injection from Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp), the work function of the Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp)/Al cathode has been evaluated by photovoltaic measurements. Analogous to electro-absorption measurements,[15] photovoltaic measurements provide information about the work function shift of an electrode when there is no internal charge transfer.[16,17] In this measurement, the photoinduced current is measured after subjecting the devices to illumination from a 1.5 M global solar simulator. In order to exclude the effect of the leakage current, the dark current is subtracted from the photocurrent to obtain the modified $I–V$ curve. The open-circuit voltage ($V_{OC}$) is obtained from the modified $I–V$ curve (the voltage when the current is zero). $V_{OC}$ deduced from photovoltaic measurements reflects the built-in potential in the PLED devices. In the absence of an interfacial dipole, the built-in potential is the difference between the work functions of the anode and the cathode in PLED devices. Since all the parameters are the same in our devices except for the thickness of Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp), the dipole configuration (if any) at the interface is assumed to be the same in all the devices. Thus, the shift in $V_{OC}$ should scale with the change in the work function of the cathode. Figure 2 shows the relationship of the measured $V_{OC}$ with the thickness of Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp) for both the Al and Ag cathodes. It is clear that the $V_{OC}$ increases with the thickness of Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp) up to a maximum value for both the Al or Ag cathodes, and then saturates upon the formation of bulk Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp). As mentioned above, higher $V_{OC}$ values essentially indicate lower work functions for the Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp)/Al cathodes. The photovoltaic measurements provide direct evidence that evaporated Cs$_2$CO$_3$ can reduce the work function of Al and Ag, thereby increasing electron injection. It has been reported that the low work function of thermally evaporated Cs$_2$CO$_3$ is an intrinsic property of the material.[18,19] Therefore, the observed evolution of the work function with varying Cs$_2$CO$_3$ thicknesses demonstrates the extent to which the evaporated Cs$_2$CO$_3$ covers the surface.

It is worth noting that the saturated $V_{OC}$ of Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp)/Ag devices is smaller than that of Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp)/Al devices, which means that even lower work functions can be achieved for the Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp)/Al cathodes. This is also consistent with the $I–V$ results shown in Figure 1. The power efficiencies of these devices at a luminance of 100 cd cm$^{-2}$ are also plotted in Figure 2a. The power efficiency follows the same trend as $V_{OC}$ when the Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp) thickness is less than 15 Å. However, it quickly reaches a maximum at a Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp) thickness of 15 Å and then decreases as the Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp) thickness is further increased. It is reasonable that the power efficiency increases with increased Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp) thickness since electron injection becomes easier in the device. However, when the electron injection is too strong, the electron current in the LEP layer overrides the hole current, and thus the efficiency decreases with increasing Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp) thickness due to imbalanced charges.

The $V_{OC}$ of the Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (sol)/Al device coincides with the saturated $V_{OC}$ of Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp)/Al devices. Again, we find that the maximum power efficiency of the Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (sol)/Al device is the same as that for Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp)/Al devices when the device parameters are optimized. This is not surprising given that a similar complex, Al–O–Cs, is formed at the Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (sol and evp)/Al interface, leading to the observed performance enhancement in both types of devices.

2.3. XPS and UPS Studies of the Interface

To further understand the mechanism for enhanced electron injection at the atomic scale, the interface between Al and Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (sol and evp) has been studied by XPS and UPS. Thermally evaporated Cs$_2$CO$_3$ tends to decompose into Cs$_2$O$_2$ and
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2.3.1. Thermally Evaporated Cs$_2$CO$_3$

Thermally evaporated Cs$_2$CO$_3$ has been used to produce low-work-function surfaces\[18,19\] A low work function of around 1 eV has been achieved by Cs$_2$CO$_3$ decomposition followed by careful high-temperature annealing at 550–600 °C. In contrast to studies of the thermionic emission of hot electrons, we have studied the decomposition of the Cs$_2$CO$_3$ cathode for electron injection into the organic material. It has previously been suggested that Cs$_2$CO$_3$ decomposes into stoichiometric Cs$_2$O$_2$ doped with Cs$_2$O during thermal evaporation; the doped oxide behaves as a kind of n-type semiconductor with an estimated bandgap of 1.9 eV\[19,20\]. Nevertheless, this hypothesis has not been proven by experimental data and Cs$_2$O$_2$ has not been conclusively identified. We have observed the evolution of CO$_2$ by mass spectrometry during the evaporation of Cs$_2$CO$_3$ under a high vacuum, which suggests that Cs$_2$CO$_3$ decomposes under our experimental conditions. However, the Cs:O atomic ratio in the thermally evaporated films, as derived by integrating the XPS signal intensities, is 2.096. Therefore, clearly the thermally deposited film contains cesium suboxides (e.g., Cs$_2$O, Cs$_2$O2, Cs$_2$O$_3$, and Cs$_2$O$_4$) doped into the Cs$_2$O$_2$ or Cs-doped Cs$_2$O$_2$, rather than Cs$_2$O$_2$, under our experimental conditions. The obtained film behaves as a heavily doped n-type semiconductor.\[19,20\] It is worth noting that Wu et al.\[21\] have observed a signal from C in their thermally deposited films, which might originate from the incomplete decomposition of Cs$_2$O$_2$ during thermal evaporation under the experimental conditions used. Alternatively, it is also possible that this signal arises from organic contaminants desorbed from the inner wall of the vacuum chamber.

A Ag substrate has been prepared by depositing a thin layer of Ag metal on a Si wafer. The Ag substrate is subjected to Ar sputtering to remove molecules adsorbed from air before Cs$_2$CO$_3$, deposition. After depositing 16 Å of Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp) onto the substrate, 16 Å of Al is also deposited on the surface. XPS and UPS spectra have been measured after the deposition of each layer. Figure 3 shows the UPS spectra at a) the secondary electron cut-off and b) near the Fermi edge. There is a large shift (2.1 eV) of the secondary electron cut-off towards lower binding energies after Cs$_2$CO$_3$ deposition, which suggests that the work function of the Ag substrate surface is lowered by 2.1 eV. Here, we feel that it is better to adopt the term “effective work function” to describe the properties of this surface, because thermally evaporated Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (which is predominately Cs$_2$O$_2$) is not a metal. Although some have argued that Cs$_2$O-covered surfaces exhibit metallic behavior,\[21\] we have not observed a clear Fermi edge in our experiments. In the past, the term “effective work function” has been used to de-scribe the thermionic emission cathode.\[19\] The effective work function of our deposited Cs$_2$O$_2$ surface is calculated to be 2.2 eV. In order to test if this work function is an intrinsic property of the material (i.e., a property of the bulk material), we have deposited 80 Å Cs$_2$CO$_3$ on Au. A 16 Å film of thermally evaporated Cs$_2$CO$_3$ has a work function of 2.2 eV; the work function slowly decreases to 2.0 eV when the thickness of the deposited Cs$_2$CO$_3$ is increased to 80 Å. All these results illustrate that the observed low work function of thermally evaporated Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (cesium suboxide) is an intrinsic property, and not just a surface phenomenon. Therefore, this low-work-function film is not very sensitive to the substrate used, which explains why metals such as Al, Au, and Ag can be used as cathodes in OLEDs with a similar device performance.\[9\] We also note that the effective work function measured here is about 1 eV higher than the value reported previously in the literature.\[19\] One possible reason for this is that our thermally evaporated Cs$_2$CO$_3$ film has not been thermally annealed at a very high temperature. Fortunately, this work function is still low enough to ensure the injection of electrons into most organic materials, as long as there is no additional dipole formation at the interface to increase the electron-injection barrier.

There is a small decrease in the work function (by about 0.16 eV) upon the deposition of 3 Å Al on the prepared Cs$_2$O$_2$ layer. The work function does not decrease any further when the thickness of Al is increased beyond 3 Å. Considering that the lattice constant of Al is 3 Å, this corresponds to a monolayer of Al atoms. XPS measurements reveal that this monolayer of Al exists in the form of an oxide. Therefore, the results suggest that the first monolayer of Al reacts with Cs$_2$O$_2$, thereby further decreasing the work function. Since O atoms are simultaneously bonded to both Cs and Al atoms, it is believed that an Al–O–Cs complex is formed in this monolayer; this interfacial complex has an even lower work function than decomposed Cs$_2$CO$_3$. Indeed, it is well known that the formation of element–O–Cs structures is essential for obtaining a low-work-

![Figure 3. UPS spectra around a) the secondary electron cut-off, and b) the Fermi energy for a 16 Å thermally deposited film of Cs$_2$CO$_3$ before and after the deposition of Al layers with thicknesses ranging from 1 to 15 Å.](image-url)
function (or negative-work-function) surface, as seen for C–O–Cs,[22] W–O–Cs,[23] Si–O–Cs,[24] Ga–O–Cs,[25] etc. It seems that CsO2 does not react very well with Ag due to the low chemical reactivity of the latter, which might explain the relatively lower efficiency of Ag cathodes as compared to their Al counterparts. Nakamura et al. have found that the oxidation of Cs at the Cs/Al interface is responsible for enhanced electron injection, and oxidized Cs/Ag cathodes are less effective than their counterparts. Nakamura et al. have found that the oxidation of Cs/Al reacts with Cs2CO3 (evp). The valence band of the deposited Cs2CO3 can be identified and the valence-band maximum (VBm) is extracted as shown in the figure. The VBm is about 1.8 eV below the Fermi energy, which is very close to the bandgap of Cs2O2 (1.9 eV). Therefore, the thermally evaporated Cs2CO3 is a semiconductor rather than a metal. The Fermi edge becomes clearly visible only after Cs2CO3 is covered by 7 Å of Al, which again proves that the first monolayer of Al plays a critical role in decreasing the work function.

Figure 3b shows the UPS spectra around the Fermi edge. The spectra are vertically shifted and plotted on a logarithmic scale to clearly display the Fermi edge. No Fermi edge is observed for thermally deposited Cs2CO3, which implies that thermally deposited Cs2CO3 is a semiconductor rather than a metal. The Fermi edge becomes clearly visible only after Cs2CO3 is covered by 7 Å of Al, which again proves that the first monolayer of Al plays a critical role in decreasing the work function. The high power efficiency of devices using Cs2CO3 (evp) as the electron-injection layer, since owing to its high conductivity there is no voltage drop across this layer. Based on these observations, the energy diagram between Al and the deposited Cs2CO3 is shown in Figure 4. As clearly depicted in Figure 4, the work function of Al is 4.3 eV, whereas the deposited Cs2CO3 surface has a low work function of 2.2 eV due to the large displacement from the vacuum level by 2.1 eV. More importantly, there is no barrier for the injection of electrons from Al to the conduction band of the highly conductive n-type Cs2CO3 (evp) semiconductor.

The formation of an Al–O–Cs complex at the contact between Al and Cs2CO3 (evp) slightly lowers the bulk work function of Cs2CO3; the formation of this complex also contributes to a small increase in the device efficiency of PLEDs fabricated using a thermally evaporated Cs2CO3 interfacial layer. However, this mechanism is much more important in solution-processed Cs2CO3 devices.

2.3.2. Solution-Processed Cs2CO3

Devices with spin-coated Cs2CO3 exhibit performance comparable to the best (or optimized) devices containing thermally evaporated Cs2CO3. At first glance it is surprising that devices with spin-coated Cs2CO3 should work so well, because spin-coated Cs2CO3 is unable to decompose into low-work-function CsO2. The doping of the polymer by Cs2CO3 (sol) is also unlikely in our system, because the device performance is poor when Ag is used as the cathode metal. Therefore, we believe that the reaction between Cs2CO3 (sol) and the evaporated metal plays a critical role in decreasing the work function.

The reaction of Cs2CO3 (sol) with evaporated Al has been demonstrated by XPS. Figure 5 shows spectra corresponding to the O 1s and Al 2s core levels. After deposition of the first 2 Å of Al, the XPS measurements indicate the presence of only the oxide. As mentioned above, this thickness corresponds to only about a monolayer of Al atoms. The first layer of Al atoms form strong chemical bonds with the underlying Cs2CO3 (sol) layer. Metallic Al only begins to appear after the deposition of a second layer of Al atoms. For solution-processed Cs2CO3, the O 1s emission is characterized by a single very broad peak. Another peak is observed upon the deposition of Al onto Cs2CO3 (sol), which is attributed to the formation of an O–Al bond. Undoubtedly, there are many O atoms bonded to both Al and Cs in the Al–O–Cs structure. This interfacial layer thus plays a very critical role in reducing the work function of the cathode.

The UPS results for Al thin films deposited on solution-processed Cs2CO3 are shown in Figure 6. A layer of Ag coated on a Si substrate serves as a reference; subsequently, a thin layer of Cs2CO3 is spin-coated onto the Ag layer. As clearly apparent from Figure 6a, the work function of the Ag surface decreases by 0.8 eV after it is covered by Cs2CO3. The work function of the spin-coated Cs2CO3 surface is calculated to be 3.5 eV. These are the first measurements of the work function of nanostructured Cs2CO3 thin films on substrates. This data explains why high-work-function ITO can be used as a cathode after spin-coating a thin layer of Cs2CO3 (sol) on it.[24]
The valence band of Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (sol) is 2.3 eV below the Fermi level, which is believed to be around 2 eV. Nevertheless, the work function of the Al–O–Cs surfactant, which would make the spectroscopic studies unpractical, loses its metallic character after the deposition of 4 Å (one monolayer of Al atoms). This saturation behavior is reasonable because the origin of the reduced work function is the reaction of Al with Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (sol) resulting in the formation of Al–O–Cs. There is almost no reaction between the second layer of Al and Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (sol), as evidenced by XPS measurements. The lowest work function obtained (saturated work function) is 2.8 eV, which is higher than that of thermally evaporated Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (2.2 eV). However, we have found that real devices fabricated by the two different processes exhibit very similar characteristics: the same order of magnitude current for the same Cs$_2$CO$_3$ thicknesses, the same maximum efficiencies, and the same saturated built-in potentials, as shown in Figure 2. One would expect that a similar work function should be obtained for Cs$_2$CO$_3$ layers fabricated by different processes, because the origin of the decreased work function is the formation of the same final product, the Al–O–Cs complex. One possible reason for this observed discrepancy is that the spin-coated Cs$_2$CO$_3$ film on Ag may not be continuous. Unlike in real devices, here there are no surfactants mixed with Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (sol). This eliminates the photoemission from C and O present in the deposition chamber, oxygen absorbed in the polymer film, or oxygen anions in organic molecules during the thermal deposition process. The first monolayer of thermally deposited Cs likely exists in the form of an oxide rather than the pure metal. Indeed, XPS depth profile studies by Nakamura et al. show the presence of 10 at.% oxygen at the same depth as Cs.[26] In contrast, devices with Cs/Ag cathodes containing less oxygen in the interface layer are much less efficient.[20]

We have also fabricated some devices using one monolayer of Cs between the LEP layer and the Al cathode. The performance of these devices is comparable to that of solution-processed Cs$_2$CO$_3$ devices in terms of the obtained current (Fig. 1) and power efficiency.

2.5. Impedance Spectroscopy

Impedance spectroscopy is a powerful characterization technique for studying the interfacial properties of electrical devices. The complex ac impedance $Z$ can be represented as $Z = Z' - jZ''$, where $Z'$ is the real part and $Z''$ is the imaginary part of the resistance. The dielectric loss can be represented by a Cole–Cole plot $Z'' = f(Z')$. Differently charged layers in a device can be separated in the Cole–Cole plot by treating them as equivalent resistance–capacitance (RC) circuits.[28,29] Each additional layer in a device introduces an extra RC circuit to the Cole–Cole plot. In order to clarify if Cs$_2$CO$_3$ forms ohmic contacts with Al, we have used impedance spectroscopy to further characterize the Cs$_2$CO$_3$/Al interface layer.

It is well known that calcium acetylacetonate (Ca(acac)$_2$) introduces an extra RC element at the interface between PF-poly(9,9-diocylfluorene-co-benzo[12]thiadiazole) (PF:F8BT) and the Al cathode. As shown in Figure 7a, the Cole–Cole plot of this device shows a double semicircle after it is turned on (2.6–3.5 V, forward biased). The additional low-frequency semicircle appears only when Ca(acac)$_2$ is inserted between the LEP and the Al cathode. In contrast, only one semicircle is observed when Ca is used as the cathode. Therefore, the
low-frequency semicircle must be related to the Ca(acac)$_2$ interfacial layer, whereas the semicircle at medium and high frequencies represents the response of the bulk LEP layer. The equivalent circuit of a device with Ca(acac)$_2$ is plotted in Figure 7a. The Ca(acac)$_2$ interfacial layer is believed to block the Cs$_2$CO$_3$ layer and forms a low-work-function interfacial layer. Therefore, the device performance is close to the bulk resistance of the LEP layer, 720 Ω cm$^2$.

In comparison, only one semicircle is observed for a device containing a Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp and sol) interfacial layer under all forward bias conditions, as shown in Figure 7b. This means that the Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp and sol) layer does not act either as a resistor or a capacitor. This data implies that Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp and sol) reacts with Al and forms ohmic contacts, which is consistent with the results discussed in the previous sections. In terms of performance, devices with a Ca(acac)$_2$ layer have a higher driving voltage than devices with a Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp and sol) layer, because there is a voltage drop across the Ca(acac)$_2$ layer.

3. Conclusions and Outlook

We have studied the interfacial properties of Cs$_2$CO$_3$ layers deposited by thermal evaporation or solution processing onto Al and Ag electrodes. PLEDs fabricated using these two processes show very similar performance. Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp and sol) increases the electron-injection current in PLEDs by reducing the electron-injection barrier. The lowering of the work function by Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (evp and sol) is corroborated by the increased built-in potential measured in photovoltaic experiments. Thermally evaporated Cs$_2$CO$_3$ can be considered to be a heavily doped n-type semiconductor with an intrinsically low work function. This material oxidizes the first few layers of the subsequently deposited Al and forms an Al–O–Cs structure, which further reduces the work function of the cathode.

Solution-processed Cs$_2$CO$_3$ also chemically reacts with the deposited Al and forms a low-work-function interfacial layer. Therefore, the low-work-function cathode can be formed by thermal evaporation or solution processing both have the same origin: the formation of an Al–O–Cs structure at the interface.

These results provide important guidance for device design and fabrication. The observed Al–O–Cs complex can be introduced by design using other methods such as the co-evaporation of Cs$_2$O$_2$ (or Cs$_2$O$_3$) and Al. Also, a low-work-function interface can be formed by the combination of Element A–O–Element B, where Element A is an alkali metal or alkaline earth metal and Element B is C, Si, Ga, W, Ag, etc. The compatibility with different elements permits the fabrication of stable (in terms of moisture and heat) and low-work-function cathodes.

4. Experimental

To prepare the devices, the ITO substrates were first cleaned using a routine procedure, which included sonication in detergent, repeated rinsing in deionized water, acetone, and isopropanol, and finally treatment with UV light and ozone. PEDOT:PSS (PSS: poly(styrene sulfonate), Baytron-P 4083) was spin-coated onto an ITO/glass substrate at a spinning speed of 4000 rpm, which gave a thickness of 25 nm. The PEDOT:PSS layer was baked at 150 °C for 20 min before spin-coating the LEP film. The LEP used was a mixture of PF and 5% MEH-PPV. The LEP film was baked at 170 °C for 30 min, and was then transferred to an evaporation chamber. All processes after spin-coating the PEDOT:PSS layer were performed in a glove box. The cathode of the PLED was formed by the thermal evaporation or spin-coating of Cs$_2$CO$_3$, followed by the thermal deposition of Al from tungsten boats at a pressure of around 3 × 10$^{-7}$ torr (1 torr = 133 Pa).

The UPS and XPS experiments were carried out in an Omicron Nanotechnology system with a base pressure of 2 × 10$^{-10}$ torr. The deposition and characterization chambers were interconnected. UPS spectra were obtained using the He I line ($h\nu = 21.2$ eV); a Mg K$_\alpha$ radiation source ($h\nu = 1253.6$ eV) was used for the XPS measurements. Samples were biased at –5 V during UPS measurements to observe the secondary electron edge. The Fermi energy of the system was measured before each experiment using Ag and Au substrates.

The impedance spectroscopy data was obtained at room temperature using an HP 4284A Precision LCR meter in the frequency range from 20 Hz to 1 MHz with an ac driving voltage of 30 mV. The ITO substrate was used as the positive electrode to apply a constant bias.
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